# SETHU INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, PULLOOR, KARIAPATTI CIRCULAR

No.	613/SIT /Auditing for	UGC Visit Schedule September 2018/2018-19
Date	11.09.2018	Time: 4.00 P.M.
Originator	Principal	Circulated to : All Departments

Sub: Audit Schedule towards UGC Visit for Autonomous Review Process - reg.

The following teams will visit the specified departments for Academic Audit (Internal) and verify the documents related to UGC Visit for Autonomous Review Process on 18.09.2018 and 19.09.2018 as per the following schedule.

		Date of	f Audit	
Team of Auditors	18.09.2018 FN	18.09.2018 AN	19.09.2018 FN	19.09.2018 AN
Dr.A.Senthil Kumar, Principal Dr.P.G.Jansi Rani, Dean-S&H Dr.A.Merline Dean-P&D	MECH		ECE	
Dr.G.D.Sivakumar Vice Principal & HoD-MECH Dr.A.Srinivasan HoD-EEE Dr.R.Sivaranjani HoD-IT		BME SCI & HUM		CIVIL
Dr.M.Radhakrishnan Dean - TLP & FA Dr.R.Kumutha HoD-Civil Dr.C.Callins Christiyana HoD-CSE		AGRI & CHEM	IT	
Dr.M.Ramakrishnan Dean - R&D and Innovation Prof.Helina Rajini Suresh HoD-ECE Dr.K.Hemalatha HoD - BME	EEE			CSE

All HoDs are requested to keep the documents ready (as per the circular No.526 /SIT/ Extension of Autonomous Status 2018-19 dated 09.08.2018) during the day of audit.

PRINCIPAL

Cc to: Chairman / CEO/JCEO

All HoDs

File

# SETHU INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, PULLOOR - 626 115

# INTERNAL ACADEMIC AUDIT REPORT – ENGINEERING DEPARTMENTS - 2017-2018

Name of the Auditor:

1. Dr.M.Ramakrishnan

2. Mrs. Helina Rajini Suresh

3. Dr.K.Hemalatha

Department Audited

: EEE

Name of the Auditee : Dr. A. Spinivasan

Date of Audit

:18.09.18

HOD/EEE

S.No.	Checklist	Good	Satisfactory	Needs to be improved	Remarks
1.	Criterion 1: Curriculum Design			mproved	
1.1	Curriculum Design Process		~		In DAB Action taken report it was mentioned as Industrial Visit is mandatory in R2015 which is not so as per our
1.2	Stakeholders involvement in curriculum design (Faculty, International Faculty, Employers/ Industries, Alumni & Students)	~		1	regulation.
1.3	Improvements made in curriculum		· .	6	Evidence for syllabus better than university/autonomous college was not available
1.4	Curriculum mapping with PEOs, POs, PSOs and Programme Specific criteria (PSCs)	✓		<u> </u>	conege was not available
1.5	Students benefited through CBCS			✓.	Consolidated list for one credit courses, internship, multi disciplinary projects,R&D Project-1 was not available.
2	Criterion 2: Teaching, Learning an	d Evaluation			projects, teeb Project-1 was not available.

S.No.	Checklist	Good	Satisfactory	Needs to be improved	Remarks
2 (a)	Teaching and Learning				
2.1	Innovative Teaching Methods	<b>✓</b>			Project based teaching for Fuzzy logic subject was done. They have utilized software simulation for power electronic subject
2.2	Innovative Assessment Methods		1		They have compared simulated and experimented results.
2.3	Lab Classes	✓	1000		
2.4	Student Projects		1		Product convertible projects also should be there along with simulation type projects.
2.5	Courses beyond Curriculum		<b>✓</b>		Extra subjects were taught to the students1.PLC 2.Arduino 3.Soldering Lab practice
2 (b)	Evaluation				
2.6	Quality of Periodical Test Questions		✓ ·		Theory and problem ratio should be maintained In control system subject problems were asked in one mark question.
2.7	Quality of Answer Sheet evaluation		<b>✓</b>	3	Mark allotment once it is done then correction should be there by not over writing.
2.8	Internal marks as per regulation	✓			Regulation followed
3	Criterion 3: R&D, Consultancy an	d Extension	Activities		
3.1	Research Publications	✓			Record maintained.
3.2	Google Scholar Citations	17.15	<b>✓</b>		Citations and h index should be represented as per the date of assessment
3.3	On-going Research Projects	✓			About sponsored project, some model can be made and kept for visitors to understand the importance of the project.
3.4	Research by faculty and students		1		Students should be encouraged for research. No innovative project by faculty
3.5	Extension Activities		~		Faculties are visiting schools and other institutions with students for project learning
4	Criterion 4: Student Details & Ser	vices			

		A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR		The second secon	137
S.No.	Checklist	Good	Satisfactory	Needs to be improved	Remarks
4.1	Effectiveness of Mentoring System		1		In few proctor diaries periodical test 1 marks were not updated
4.2	Co-curricular activities	1	5 N 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
4.3	Extra-curricular activities		1		Consolidated list for 2017-18 odd semester was not shown.
4.4	Placement activities	1			
5	Criterion 5: Faculty and Staff Det	ails			
5.1	Faculty Profile		<b>✓</b>		Few files were not updated.
5.2	Faculty contribution in curriculum development		1		
5.3	Participation in FDP/FIP/ Conference/Seminar/Workshop		~		Dissemination of knowledge by faculty after attending FDP/Workshop was not available.
5.4	Faculty knowledge updation through online courses	1		) A	

General Suggestions	Strengths	Weaknesses
1. Proof for Students being benefited through CBCS	1.Two funded projects	1.
was not available.	2.	2.
2.	3.	3.
3.	4.	4.
4.		

Signature of the Auditee

Dr. A. Sinhivasan HOD/EEE

Signature of the Auditors CHELINGURES H

# SETHU INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, PULL OR - 626 115

#### INTERNAL ACADEMIC AUDIT REPORT - ENGINEERING DEPARTMENTS - 2017-2018

Name of the Auditor:

1. Dr. M. Radhakrishnan, Dean TLP & FA

2. Dr. R. Kumutha, HoD/Civil

3. Dr. C. Callins Christiyana, HoD/CSE

Department Audited

: Information Technology

Name of the Auditee : Dr.S. Sivaranjani, HOD | エT

Date of Audit

: 19.09.2018

S.No.	Checklist	Good	Satisfactory	Needs to be improved	Remarks
1.	Criterion 1: Curriculum Design				
1.1	Curriculum Design Process	V			<ul> <li>UGC guidelines not available</li> <li>In the attendance sheet of PAC meeting minutes, students' signatures were not available</li> </ul>
1.2	Stakeholders involvement in curriculum design (Faculty, International Faculty, Employers/ Industries, Alumni & Students)		4		<ul> <li>Few Feedbacks were irrelevant</li> <li>Effectiveness of feedback collection need to be improved</li> <li>Feedback on curriculum may be obtained from faculty of foreign origin</li> </ul>
1.3	Improvements made in curriculum	V			<ul> <li>Evidence for having syllabus better than University can be improved</li> </ul>
1.4	Curriculum mapping with PEOs, POs, PSOs and Programme Specific criteria (PSCs)	V			Only few courses were mapped with Program specific Criteria
1.5	Students benefited through CBCS	<b>V</b>			<ul> <li>For Internship/Inplant Training, evidence on constitution for Evaluation Committee need to be made available</li> </ul>
2	Criterion 2: Teaching, Learning an	nd Evaluati	on		

S.No.	Checklist	Good	Satisfactory	Needs to be improved	Remarks
2 (a)	Teaching and Learning				
2.1	Innovative Teaching Methods	√			
2.2	Innovative Assessment Methods	√			
2.3	Lab Classes	<b>V</b>			
2.4	Student Projects		7		<ul> <li>Evidence for projects done in industry needed</li> <li>Students may be motivated to do projects in lates topics</li> </ul>
2.5	Courses beyond Curriculum	√			No feedback received from students
2 (b)	Evaluation				
2.6	Quality of Periodical Test Questions	<b>√</b>			
2.7	Quality of Answer Sheet evaluation	√			
2.8	Internal marks as per regulation	<b>V</b>			
3	Criterion 3: R&D, Consultancy an	d Extension	Activities		
3.1	Research Publications		√		Documentation needs to be improved
3.2	Google Scholar Citations	1			
3.3	On-going Research Projects		√		
3.4	Research by faculty and students	√			Documentation needs to be improved
3.5	Extension Activities	<b>V</b>			
4	Criterion 4: Student Details & Ser	vices			
4.1	Effectiveness of Mentoring System			√	<ul> <li>Contradictory Information in the sample taken</li> <li>Proctor Diaries need to be updated</li> </ul>
4.2	Co-curricular activities	1			Consolidated list for the academic year not available
4.3	Extra-curricular activities			√	Nil data

S.No.	Checklist	Good	Satisfactory	Needs to be improved		Remarks
4.4	Placement activities	√			•	Attendance for conducting placement trainings should be recorded properly
5	Criterion 5: Faculty and Staff Det	ails				
5.1	Faculty Profile	<b>V</b>				
5.2	Faculty contribution in curriculum development	1				
5.3	Participation in FDP/FIP/ Conference/Seminar/Workshop	1			•	Documentation needs to be improved
5.4	Faculty knowledge updation through online courses	1			•	Documentation needs to be improved

Strengths	Weaknesses
Initiative in Consultancy activities by faculty     and students	1.
and students	2.
2. Focus on feedback and improvement (e.g. PAC	
meetings for every course in the curriculum)	3.
3. Participatory management	4.
	Initiative in Consultancy activities by faculty and students     Focus on feedback and improvement (e.g. PAC meetings for every course in the curriculum)

S. Sa Dji

Signature of the Auditee

Esto of Min

1. 1. Serrajaja (M. Radhatamishnam)

Q. Rumutha)

3. Signature of the Auditors



#### SETHU INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY (AUTONOMOUS)

#### Affiliated to AnnaUniversity, Chennai

Pulloor-626 115, Kariapatti. Virudhunagar Dt. Tamil nadu. India

#### ACADEMIC AUDIT REPORT

Name of the department audited

: OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER OF EXAMINATIONS

Date(s) of Audit

: 15.09.2018

Name of the Auditor

: Dr.S.Ananda Kumar

Designation & Department

: Professor & HoD /Civil

College name

: KONGU ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Name of the Auditee

: DR.R.MURALIKANNAN

#### Criterion I: Question Paper setting& Scrutiny

S.No	Samples/ Evidences audited	Observations
1.	Quality of questions	Standard Questions and keys were received
2.	Question paper scrutiny	Genuine attempt had been made
3.	Panel of Question paper setters & scrutiny members	Good

#### Criterion II: Quality of Examiners

S.No	Samples/ Evidences audited	Observations
1.	Practical examination	Good
2.	Theory invigilation	Good
3.	Valuation	Genuine attempt had been made

#### Criterion III: Valuation Process

S.No	Samples/ Evidences audited	Observations
1.	Valuation procedure	Good
2.	Sampling by chief examiner/ chairman	3/30 of answer scripts selected randomly and checked by chairman.
3.	Dealing with question paper Discrepancy	Board chairman discussed with external and internal examiners and suitable marks awarded.
4.	Overall quality of valuation process	Good

## riterion IV: Confidentiality of the exam process

S.No	Samples/ Evidences audited	Observations
1.	Question paper safety	Proper arrangement exist
2.	Question printing & packing	Confidentiality is maintained in the entire printing and packing process.
3.	Security of answer papers	Kept in strong room
4.	Confidentiality in post exam process upto valuation	Complete process is confidential
5.	Publication of results	Published within 30 days from last examination
6.	Grade statement printing	Printed and issued to the candidates in appropriate time.

## Criterion V: Malpractice cases

S.No	Samples/ Evidences audited	Observations
1.	Guidelines for the punishment	Followed as per the norms of the college
2.	Recording of malpractice	Maintained Good
3.	Malpractice committee	ok
4.	Punishment and publication result	Appropriate

#### Criterion VI: Revaluation process

S.No	Samples/ Evidences audited	Observations
1.	Revaluation procedure	Followed as per the regulation
2.	Revaluation Process	Good
3.	Publication of results	Appropriate

#### Criterion VII: Redressal of Student Grievances

S.No	Samples/ Evidences audited	Observations
1.	Publication of results	It is noted that the grievance recording & solving is executed well.
2.	Correction in certificates	Records maintained properly
3.	Break of study/ Withdrawal cases	Records maintained.
4.	Readmission/ Transfer	Addition /Deletion of subjects are recorded
5.	General grievances	Nil

#### Consolidated Report:

### ositive Aspects:

- > All the Question papers were set by external expert.
- > The maintenance of Files and records were Good.

#### Suggestions for Improvement:

Need to improve the Scrutiny panel of examiner database.

> 100% Internal invigilators can be done with Anna university Representative.

Name and signature of the Auditor

Dr. S. ANANDA KUMAR.

Name and signature of the Auditee



#### SETHU INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY (AUTONOMOUS)

#### Affiliated to AnnaUniversity, Chennai

Pulloor-626 115, Kariapatti. Virudhunagar Dt. Tamil nadu. India

#### ACADEMIC AUDIT REPORT

Name of the department audited

: OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER OF EXAMINATIONS

Date(s) of Audit

: 15.09.2018

Name of the Auditor

: Dr.R.Thamilselvan

Designation & Department

: Professor /IT, Deputy Controller of Examinations

College name

: KONGU ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Name of the Auditee

: Dr.R.MURALIKANNAN

#### Criterion I: Question Paper setting& Scrutiny

S.No	Samples/ Evidences audited	Observations
1.	Quality of questions	Generally the quality of the question paper is good. But for the problematic subjects problem/ case studies may be increased.
2.	Question paper scrutiny	Expert members called from reputed institutions.
3.	Panel of Question paper setters & scrutiny members	Good.

#### Criterion II: Quality of Examiners

S.No	Samples/ Evidences audited	Observations
1.	Practical examination	Examiners ensured fair conduct of practical exams.
2.	Theory invigilation	Good
3.	Valuation	Good

#### Criterion III: Valuation Process

S.No	Samples/ Evidences audited	Observations
1.	Valuation procedure	Procedure is follow on the norms.
2.	Sampling by chief examiner/ chairman	3 scripts per bundle were selected by chairman and verified.
3.	Dealing with question paper Discrepancy	The chairman valuation board discussed with the internal & external examiner at the suitable action taken.
4.	Overall quality of valuation process	Good

## Criterion IV: Confidentiality of the exam process

S.No	Samples/ Evidences audited	Observations
1.	Question paper safety	Proper arrangement exist
2.	Question printing & packing	Good
3.	Security of answer papers	Kept in strong room
4.	Confidentiality in post exam process upto valuation	It is properly maintained
5.	Publication of results	Published within 30 days from last examination
6.	Grade statement printing	Printed and issued to the candidates in appropriate time.

#### Criterion V: Malpractice cases

S.No	Samples/ Evidences audited	Observations
1.	Guidelines for the punishment	Followed as per the norms of the college
2.	Recording of malpractice	The reports are properly maintained
3.	Malpractice committee	ok
4.	Punishment and publication result	Appropriate

#### Criterion VI: Revaluation process

S.No	Samples/ Evidences audited	Observations
1.	Revaluation procedure	Followed as per the regulation
2.	Revaluation Process	Good
3.	Publication of results	Appropriate

#### riterion VII: Redressal of Student Grievances

S.No	Samples/ Evidences audited	Observations
1.	Publication of results	It is noted that the grievance recording & solving is executed well.
2.	Correction in certificates	Records are maintained properly.
3.	Break of study/ Withdrawal cases	Records are maintained properly.
4.	Readmission/ Transfer	The curriculum and syllabi are prepared for the readmission/ transfer students.
5.	General grievances	Nil

#### onsolidated Report:

#### Positive Aspects:

- > All the Question papers were set by external expert.
- > The maintenance of Files and records were Good.

#### Suggestions for Improvement:

- Scheme of valuation to be incorporated in answer keys.
- Separate Scrutiny report with reason for modification must be incorporated.
- 100% Internal invigilators may be incorporated in the exam duty.
- For the enquiry of malpractice students 100% internal committee may be formed with the approval of Principal.

Name and signature of the Auditor

( Do . R. Tham | Selvan )

Name and signature of the Auditee



# SETHU INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY (AN AUTONOMOUS INSTITUTION)

(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi and affiliated to Anna University , Chennai)

Pulloor, Kariapatti - 626 115

#### ACADEMIC AUDIT

#### **Details of Question papers Audited:**

S.No.	Dept	Name of the course	Sem	Question paper	Parameters			
		148		pattern	Incorporation of Bloom's Taxonomy	Address the Course Outcomes	Comparison with University Question Papers	
1	CSE	15003916 Coyphography	May 18 Elective	C	yes, little care may be taken for incorporation	Yes	Standard of the question paper is good.	
2	CSE & IT	15 ves 208 Digital principus and System Deritor	May 18	e	yes, For few quarion proper incorporation is huden	yes	spendard in find	
3	CSE & IT	15 UCS 402 Java programming	May 18	C	yes	Yes	wit questions may be avoided, but the quality is glood.	
4	CSE	150 CS 306 Database System Contepts	May 18	С	Yes	yes	quality is good. But one or two case Study problem may be given.	
5	15	150es 902 Information Storage managenut	May 18 Election	C	yes	yes.	Standard is good.	

## **Answer Scripts Audited:**

S.No.	Dept	Name of the course	Sem	Bundle no &	Evaluation Done	Parameters			
			Dummy r		by Internal/ External Evaluator	Evaluation follows the Key	Awarding of Marks (Liberal/Strict/ Correct)	Carry forward to Grade sheet	
1	CSE	15UCS916 Coyprography	VI	505011	Enternal	Yes	Correct!	yes.	
2	CSE	15UCS 603 Artificial antielligence	VI	501961	Enternal	Yes.	Corrent	yes.	
3	CSE 2 IT	15UCSA02 Jara porgramming	[V	411386	Enternal	hittle Care may be torken, while awarolog marks	Correct	yes.	

Signature of the External Expert



# SETHU INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY (AN AUTONOMOUS INSTITUTION)

(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi and affiliated to Anna University , Chennai)

Pulloor, Kariapatti - 626 115

#### ACADEMIC AUDIT

#### **Details of Question papers Audited:**

S.No.	Dept	Name of the course	Sem	Question paper	Parameters			
				pattern	Incorporation of Bloom's Taxonomy	Address the Course Outcomes	Comparison with University Question Papers	
,	CIVIL	APPLIED HYDRAULIC ENGINEERING	May 2018	С	heeds some Improvement for certain avestion	Yes	Standard of the Question papers is found to be good.	
2	7,	15 UCE 305 FLUID MECHANICS	May 2018	С	refinement for certain Questions	Yes	good.	
3,	יי	15UCE 402 CONSTRUCTION TECHNIQUES, EQUIPMENTS AND PRACTICE	May 2018	Α.	Incomporated	Yes	Good.	
4.	))	15UCE 404 WATER RESOURCES AND IRRIGATION ENGINEERIN		A	Needs to be improved for all the Questions.	yes	Good.	
5.	OPEN OPEN	15 VCE 971  REMOTE SENSING  AND GIS	May 2019	A ·	Incorporated	Yes	Average.	

## **Answer Scripts Audited:**

S.No.	Dept	Name of the course	Sem	Bundle no &	Evaluation Done	Parameters			
			Dummy no		by Internal/ External Evaluator	Evaluation follows the Key	Awarding of Marks (Liberal/Strict/ Correct)	Carry forward to Grade sheet	
١,	CIVIL	15 VCR 406 Applied Hydraulic Engineering:	May 2018.	CE110 508493.	INTERNAL	Little care should be taken while awarding market	Liberal for few avestions	Forwarded.	
	OPEN PLECTIVE	15UCE 971	May 2016	CE16 500791	)7	Not very barticular with the key.	Correct	>>	
3	CIVIL	15UCE 404 WATER RESOURCES IRRIGATION ENGINEERING	May 2018 4	CE76 503376	EXTERNAL	follows +he key.	Correct.	<b>)</b> )	

Signature of the External Expert

# SETHU INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, PULLOOR - 626 115

## ACADEMIC AUDIT CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORT

# DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

For the Period: July 2017 to June 2018

YEAR: 2017-2018

S.No.	Checklist	Auditors' Remarks, if Any	Corrective Action	Person Responsible	Target Date
1.	Criterion 1: Curriculum Design				
1.1	Curriculum Design Process	In DAB action taken report it was mentioned as Industrial visit is mandatory in R2015 which is not so as per our regulation	Correction made	Mrs G.Soundradevi	
1.2	Stakeholders involvement in curriculum design (Faculty, International Faculty, Employers/ Industries, Alumni & Students)	nil		-	- 1
1.3	Improvements made in curriculum	Evidence for syllabus better than university/autonomous college was not mentioned	Evidence included in the file	Mrs G.Soundradevi	
1.4	Curriculum mapping with PEOs, POs, PSOs and Programme Specific criteria (PSCs)	nil		- 1	
1.5	Students benefited through CBCS	Consolidated one credit courses, internship, multidisciplinary projects, R & D projects - 1 was not available.	Consolidated report done	All Class Advisors	
2	Criterion 2: Teaching, Learning and Evaluati				TALES HAD
2 (a)	Teaching and Learning				
2.1	Innovative Teaching Methods	Project based teaching for fuzzy logic subject was done. They have utilized software simulation for Power Electronic subject		-	-
2.2	Innovative Assessment Methods	They have compared simulated & Experimental results		-	-

S.No.	Checklist	Auditors' Remarks, if Any	Corrective Action	Person Responsible	Target Date
2.3	Lab Classes	nil	-	-	-
2.4	Student Projects	Product convertible projects also should be there along with simulation type projects	Students are encouraged to do products convertible projects in 2019-2020 final year batch	Dr.R.M Sasi Raja	
2.5	Courses beyond Curriculum	Extra subjects were taught to the students1.PLC 2.Arduino 3.soldering lab practice	PRINTED THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF	-	-
2 (b)	Evaluation		TRUES AND		
2.6	problems were asked in one in question.		All instructors were instructed to maintain theory & problem ratio for problem oriented courses.	All course instructors	-
2.7	Quality of Answer Sheet evaluation	Mark allotment once it is done then correction should be done by not over writing	Instruction given to all course instructors	All course instructors	-
2.8	Internal marks as per regulation	Regulation followed		Ersen Hall Land	
3	Criterion 3: R&D, Consultancy and Extens	ion Activities			
3.1	Research Publications	Record maintained	-c2/8/22 20 70		
3.2	Google Scholar Citations	Citations & H index should be represented as per the date of assessment	Correction will be done & file will be updated	Mr.B.Karthikeyan AP/EEE	23.10.18
3.3	On-going Research Projects	About sponsored project, some model can be made & kept for visitors to understand the importance of project	Informed to Principal Investigators	Dr.A.Srinivasan Prof & Head/EEE Dr.B.Meenakshi sundaram Prof/EEE Mr.V.Kannan AP/EEE MsC.Sonia AP/EEE	23.10.18
3.4	Research by faculty and students	Students should be encouraged for research. No innovative project by faculty.	R&D Teams are formed and faculty members are encouraged to send project proposals	All faculty members	23.10.18

S.No.	Checklist	Auditors' Remarks, if Any	Corrective Action	Person Responsible	Target Date		
3.5	Extension Activities	Faculties are visiting schools and other institutions with students for project learning.	-	-	-		
4	Criterion 4: Student Details & Services						
4.1	Effectiveness of Mentoring System	less of Mentoring System  In few proctor diaries periodical test 1 Instruction given mark were not updated update the proctor dia		Mr.Syed Abdhul haq	23.10.18		
4.2	Co-curricular activities	nil	-				
4.3	Extra-curricular activities	Consolidated list for 2017-2018 was not shown	Instructed to maintain consolidated report in the file	Ms.C.Sonia	23.10.18		
4.4	Placement activities	nil		-	-		
5	Criterion 5: Faculty and Staff Details						
5.1	Faculty Profile	Few files were not updated	Instruction given to update the files	All faculty members	23.10.18		
5.2	Faculty contribution in curriculum development						
5.3	Participation in FDP/FIP/ Conference/Seminar/Workshop	Dissemination of knowledge by faculty after attending FDP/Workshop was not available	Instructed to maintain the record of faculty presentation after attending the course.	Ms.Suvitha Babu	23.10.18		
5.4	Faculty knowledge updation through online courses	nil	-	•	-		

HOD/EEE

# SCHU INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLO Y Pulloor, Kariapatti - 626 115

Dept. of IT

## ACADEMIC AUDIT CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORT

For the Period: July 2017 toJune 2018

YEAR: 2017-2018

S.No.	Checklist	Auditors' Remarks, if Any	Corrective Action	Person Responsible	Target Date	
1.	Criterion 1: Curriculum Design					
1.1	Curriculum Design Process	UGC guidelines not available.     In the attendance sheet of PAC meeting minutes, student's signatures were not available.	It is made available	1. Dr.S.Jenicka 2. P.Swapna	Already Done	
	Stakeholders involvement in curriculum design(Faculty, International Faculty, Employers/Industries, Alumni & Students)	Few feedback were irrelevant.	Irrelevant Feedbacks were available in R2013 and R2014 preparation those irrelevant feedbacks are removed from the respective file.			
1.2		Effectiveness of feedback collection need to be improved.	The feedback collection is very effective in the curriculum design of R2015.	P.PabithaMuthu		
		Feedback on curriculum may be obtained from faculty of foreign origin.	It will be implemented for the curriculum design of R2019.		JAN 25-2019	
1.3	Improvements made in curriculum	Evidence for having syllabus better than University can be improved.	It is made available.	Dr.S.Jenicka	Already Done	
1.4	Curriculum mapping with PEOs, POs, PSOs and Programme Specific criteria (PSCs)	Only few courses were mapped with Program Specific Criteria.	All the courses were mapped and it is available in the file.	Dr.S.Jenicka	Already Done	
1.5	Students benefited through CBCS	For Internship/ In plant Training, evidence on constitution for Evaluation Committee need to be made available.	It is available with the class advisors.	All the Class advisors.	Already Done	

S.No.	Checklist	Auditors' Remarks, if Any	Corrective Action	Person Responsible	<2> Target Date
2	Criterion 2: Teaching, Learning	and Evaluation		THE PERSON NAMED IN	
2(a)	Teaching and Learning				
		Evidence for projects done in industry needed.	It is made available.		Already Done
2.4	Student Projects	Students may be motivated to do the projects in latest topics.	The Students are motivated to do the projects in problem given in Smart India Hackathon, MHRD, Govt. of India.	Class Advisors	
2.5	Courses beyond Curriculum	No feedbacks received from students.	It is made available.	S.Rathnamala	Already Done
3	Criterion 3: R&D, Consultancy	and Extension Activities			
- 3.1	Research Publications	Documentation needs to be improved.	Documentation has been improved and it is made available in the file.	Dr.S.Jenicka	Already Done
3.4	Research by faculty and students	Documentation needs to be improved.	Documentation has been improved and it is made available in the file.	Dr.S.Jenicka	Already Done
1	Criterion 4: Student Details & S	ervices			
4.1	Effectiveness of Mentoring System	Contradictory Information in the sample taken. Proctor diaries need to be updated.	All the proctor diaries are verified and it was updated.	All Class advisors and Proctors	Already Done
4.2	Co-curricular activities	Consolidated list for the academic year not available.	It is available with the class advisors.	All the Class advisors.	Already Done
4.3	Extra-curricular activities	Nil data	All the details of Extra-Curricular Activities are available with the class advisor and with the automation software.	All the Class advisors.	Already Done
4.4	Placement activities	Attendance for conducting placement trainings should be recorded properly.	It has been recorded properly.	D.Nagendrakumar P.Manoj Kumar	Already Done
;	Criterion 5: Faculty and Staff De				
5.3	Participation in FDP/FIP/Conference/Seminar/ Workshop	Documentation needs to be improved.	Documentation has been improved and it is made available in the file.	M.M.Yamuna Devi	Already Done
5.4	Faculty knowledge updation through online courses	Documentation needs to be improved.	Documentation has been improved and it is made available in the file.	R.Sumitha	Already Done

S.No.	Checklist	Auditors' Remarks, if	Any	Corrective Action	Person Responsible	<3> Target Date
			General S	uggestions		
S.No	Auditors' Ren		Corrective Action		Person Responsible	Target Date
1	Instructional System Design for t be made available.	he current semester courses may	It is available in the soft copy.			-
2	Logbooks may be updated till date for the current semester.		Final year Students went for placement training. Usually attendance will be received from the placement cell and then it will be entered into the logbooks. Entry is updated			-
3	Abstract information may be made	de available in all the files.	It is made			
			Dr.S.Jenical decision (2 submitted of	vas taken to promote R& D. ka received major revision 29.10.18) on the research proposal on 02.08.18 to ISRO-RESPOND		
4	Initiative may be taken for getting R & D funded projects.		"Extraction from Ren follow-up	worth Rs 13,71,000 titled on and Counting of buildings notely Sensed Images". As a action she submitted the first ersion of the proposal on	Dr.S.Jenicka	May 2019.

S. S. Signature of the Head of the Department

# SETHU INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY Pulloor, Kariapatti - 626 115

#### ACADEMIC AUDIT CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORT-CONTROLLER OF EXAMINATION OFFICE

For the Period: July 2017 to September 2018

YEAR: 2017 - 2018

S.No.	Checklist	Auditors' Remarks, if Any	Corrective Action	Person Responsible	Target Date			
1.	Criterion I : Question Paper setting	g & Scrutiny						
1.1	➤ Quality of Questions	Good						
1.2	➤ Question Paper Scrutiny	Good		-				
1.3	<ul> <li>Panel of question paper setters</li> <li>&amp; Scrutiny members</li> </ul>	Good						
2.	Criterion II : Quality of Examiners							
47-1-12								
2.1	Practical Examination	Good						
2.2	> Theory invigilation	Good						
2.3	> Valuation	Good						
3.	Criterion III : Question Paper setti	ing & Scrutiny						
3.1	➤ Valuation Procedure	Good						
3.2	<ul> <li>Sampling by Chief examiner / Chairman</li> </ul>	Good						
3.3	<ul> <li>Dealing with Question paper discrepency</li> </ul>	Good	-					

S.No.	Checklist	Auditors' Remarks, if Any	Corrective Action	Person Responsible	Target Date				
4.	Criterion IV : Confidentiality of the exam process								
4.1	Question paper Safety	Good							
4.2	> Question printing & packing	Good							
4.3	Security of answer papers	Good							
4.4	Confidentiality in post exam process up to Valuation	Good							
4.5	> Publication of results	Good							
4.6	<ul> <li>Grade statement printing</li> </ul>	Good							
5.	Criterion V : Malpractice cases								
5.1	➤ Guidelines for the punishment	Good							
5.2	> Recording of malpractice	Good							
5.3	> Malpractice committee	Good							
5.4	➤ Punishment and publication result	Good							
6.	Criterion VI: Revaluation process								
6.1	> Revaluation procedure	Good							
6.1		0 1							
6.2	Revaluation process	Good	A STATE OF THE STA						

S.No.	Checklist	Auditors' Remarks, if Any	Corrective Action	Person Responsible	Target Date			
7.	Criterion VII: Redressal of Student G	Frievances						
7.1	> Publication of results	Good						
7.2	> Correction in certificates	Good						
7.3	➤ Break of study / withdrawal cases	Good						
7.4	➤ Readmission / Transfer	Good						
7.5	➢ General grievances	Good						
	<ul> <li>Need to improve the Scrutiny panel of examiner database.</li> <li>Separate Scrutiny report with reason for modification must be incorporated.</li> <li>100% Internal invigilators may be incorporated in the exam duty.</li> <li>For the enquiry of malpractice students 100% internal committee may be formed with the approval of Principal.</li> </ul>							
	Corrective Action :							
	➤ Scheme of valuation incorporated in Answer keys.							
	More number of Internal faculty members were appointed for question paper Scrutiny.							
	Detailed Scrutiny report with reason for modifications were maintained.							
	60% of internal invigilators incor will be increased.	porated in End semester exam	ination . In subsequence	semesters the percentage	of internal invigilato			
	In future malpractice enquiry committee of internal faculty members will be formed after the approval of principal.							

Signature of the Head of the Department
CONTROLLER OF EXAMINATIONS
SETHU INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
PULLOOR 626 145

NAAC 2022-2023

Self-Study Report (SSR)

#### **CRITERION 6**

Governance, Leadership and Management

**6.5 Internal Quality Assurance System** 

- 6.5.3 Quality assurance initiatives of the institution include:
  - 1. Regular meeting of Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC); Feedback collected, analysed and used for improvements
  - 2. Collaborative quality initiatives with other institution(s)
  - 3. Participation in NIRF
  - 4. Any other quality audit recognized by state, national or international agencies (ISO Certification)

# PEER TEAM ACADEMIC AUDIT

# SETHU INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, PULLOOR, KARIAPATTI CIRCULAR

No.	104/SIT/ Peer Team Ac	ademic Audit/2018-19
Date	07.02.2019	Time: 11.15 A.M.
Originator	Principal	Circulated to : All Departments

Sub: Peer Team Academic Audit - reg.

HoDs are informed that Peer Team Academic Audit for the year 2017-18 will be conducted **on 16<sup>th</sup> February 2019**. All HoDs are requested to prepare well for the forthcoming Peer Team Academic Audit. The guidelines for the Audit are given in the following path. Kindly refer the guidelines and prepare for the Peer Team Academic Audit accordingly.

\\commonserver\circular\Peer Team Audit Guidelines

**PRINCIPAL** 

Copy to : Chairman/ CEO/JCEO

All HoDs

File

#### SETHU INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY,

(An Autonomous Institution)
PULLOOR, KARIAPATTI - 626 115.

#### IQAC EXTERNAL PEER TEAM REPORT

An External Peer Team visited the College to evaluate the performance of the College and the effective implementation of autonomy. The members of the Peer Team are:

1. Dr.R.Thamilselvan, Ph.D.,

- Chairperson

Professor & Deputy Controller of Examinations,

Dept.of IT,

Kongu Engineering College,

Erode

2. Dr.R.S.Sabeenian, Ph.D.,

- Member

Professor & HoD, Dept of ECE,

Sona College of Technology,

Salem

3. Dr.M.K.Elango, Ph.D.,

-Member

Professor & HoD, Dept.of EEE,

K.S.Rangasamy College of Technology,

Tiruchengode

The team interacted with the Head of the Institution, IQAC Coordinator, Heads of the Departments, Controller of Examinations and Faculty members and reviewed the implementation autonomy in the College. The following are the observations of the Peer Team on various aspects

#### General Observations of the IQAC External Peer Team

> The team observed that the College has been conferred autonomous status by UGC from the academic year 2012-2018.

18/2/19

1 16/2/19

blesteep warm

- > The team observed that the statutory bodies are actively involved in the implementation of autonomy in the College through the minutes of Governing Body, Academic Council, Board of Studies and Finance Committee.
- > The team observed that the College has been recognized 2f and 12B of UGC act.
- The team appreciated the efforts taken by the College to obtain NAAC accreditation with 'A' grade. The team also observed the action taken by the College based on the suggestion of the NAAC report. The team also noted that the College is preparing for the second cycle of NAAC accreditation.
- The team observed that the College has submitted Annual Quality Assurance Reports (AQAR) to NAAC in order to maintain the quality of the College.
- Audited statements of accounts for the past three years is available in the College website
- ➤ The team observed that the College has obtained extension of autonomous status from UGC from the academic year 2018- 2019 to 2022-2023.
- The team also noted that the College has implemented the Choice based Credit System.
- > The team noted the various achievements of autonomy and the examination reforms carried out by the College

#### Observations of the IQAC External Peer Team

#### Criterion 1: Curriculum Design

S.No.	Parameters	Observations
1.1	Curriculum Design Process	<ul> <li>Curriculum Design process is followed to meet the Vision and Mission of the Institution and Department.</li> </ul>
1.2	Stakeholders involvement in curriculum design (Faculty, International Faculty, Employers/ Industries, Alumni & Students)	<ul> <li>Feedback may be more organized and classified stakeholder wise</li> <li>Feedback can be obtained from parents</li> <li>Online feedback forms may be used</li> </ul>
1.3	Improvements made in curriculum	<ul> <li>Improvements made in curriculum based on stakeholder's feedback may be highlighted</li> </ul>
1.4	Curriculum mapping with PEOs, POs, PSOs and Programme Specific criteria (PSC)	Mapping is available
1.5	Value-added Courses offered to the students	Credits may be given to Value-added courses

10/2/19

2

Welther 1818

#### Criterion 2: Teaching Learning Process

S.No.	Parameters	Observations		
2.1	Academic Calendar	Schedule of Activities may be followed as per the Academic Calendar		
2.2	Class Time table	• Followed		
2.3	Innovative Teaching Methods	Implemented		
2.4	Assignment	Rubrics may be used to evaluate the Assignment		
2.5	Monitoring mechanism for teaching-learning process	Available		
2.6	Remedial action for slow learners	More Remedial classes can be arranged for academically weat students     More focused efforts can be taken for slow learners		
2.7	Lab Classes	All records available		
2.8	Student Projects	Project Report writing may be improved		
2.9	Attainment analysis of COs, POs and PSOs	<ul> <li>Course Committee meetings should have detailed discussion about the Attainment analysis.</li> </ul>		
2.10	Courses beyond Curriculum	Available		

## Criterion 3: Examination and Evaluation Process

S.No.	Parameters	Observations
3.1	Quality of Periodical Test Questions	Little care to be taken in mentioning the Bloom's Taxonomy Level
3.2	Quality of Answer Sheet evaluation	Followed as per the Answer Key
3.3	Awarding Internal marks as per regulation	Followed as per the Regulation
3.4	Periodical Test Result Analysis	Available
3.5	Lab Assessment	Detailed Rubrics may be followed for assessment
3.6	Project work evaluation	Detailed Rubrics may be followed for evaluating Projects

16/2/19

911/6/2/19

Meloples

#### Criterion 4: Achievements of Students through Autonomy

S.No.	Parameters	Observations
4.1	Self learning Online Courses	Available
4.2	Industry Designed Courses	Available
4.3	Inplant Training/Internship	Inplant Trainings and Industrial Visits may be classified correctly
4.4	Co-curricular activities	<ul> <li>Significant achievements of students may be highlighted</li> </ul>
4.5	Extra-curricular activities	<ul> <li>Significant achievements of students may be highlighted</li> </ul>
4.6	Placement activities	<ul> <li>Career guidance must be given to students who do not opt for Campus Placement</li> </ul>

# Criterion 5: Faculty Development and Research for implementation of Autonomy

S.No.	Parameters	Observations		
5.1	Participation in FDP/FIP/ Conference/Seminar/Workshop	<ul> <li>Faculty members may be encouraged to attend programs in reputed organizations like IITs and NITS</li> </ul>		
5.2	Faculty knowledge updation through online courses	Available		
5.3	Research Publications	Research publications needs improvement		
5.4	Google Scholar Citations	Available		
5.5	On-going Research Projects	<ul> <li>More efforts may be taken by all Departments to obtain funded projects</li> </ul>		
5.6	Consultancy Activities	More activities can be done towards consultancy		
5.7	Patents	Patents are filed		

16/2/19

9116/2/19

Medule

#### Signature of the Audit Team Members:

S.No.	Name	Signature
1.	Dr.R.Thamilselvan, Ph.D., - Chairperson  Professor & Deputy Controller of Examinations,  Dept.of IT,  Kongu Engineering College,  Erode	2000mg 19
2.	Dr.R.S.Sabeenian, Ph.D.,  Professor & HoD, Dept of ECE,  Sona College of Technology,  Salem	2116/2/19.
3.	Dr.M.K.Elango, Ph.D.,  Professor & HoD, Dept.of EEE,  K.S.Rangasamy College of Technology,  Tiruchengode	leephleep

IQAC Coordinator

Dr.A.MERLINE B.E., M.E., Ph.D.,
PROFESSOR
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS
AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING
SETHU INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
KARIAPATTI 626 115

PRINCIPAL

Dr. A. Senthil Kumar, M.E.,Ph.D.,
PRINCIPAL

PULLOOR, KARIAPATTI - 626 115 VIRUDHUNAGAR (DI) SETHU INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, PULLOOR - 626 115

#### PEER TEAM AUDIT REPORT FOR THE PERIOD JULY 2017 TO JUNE 2018

Name of the Auditor:

1. Dr. R. Thamilselvan, projessor, Kongu Ergg. College.

Department Audited: Computer Science and Engg. Name of the Auditee: Tor. C. CALLINS CHRISTIYANA

S.No.	Checklist	Good	Satisfactory	Needs to be improved	Remarks
1.	Criterion 1: Curriculum Design				
1.1	Curriculum Design Process	/			
1.2	Stakeholders involvement in curriculum design (Faculty, International Faculty, Employers/ Industries, Alumni & Students)		~		objained from State -holders.
1.3	Improvements made in curriculum	V			
1.4	Curriculum mapping with PEOs, POs, PSOs and Programme Specific criteria (PSCs)		V		
1.5	Value-added Courses offered to the students		~		may be offered.
2.	Criterion 2: Teaching Learning Process				7 30 00
2.1	Academic Calendar	~			
2.2	Class Time table	~			Individual Time Toble
2.3	Innovative Teaching Methods	/			1
2.4	Assignment		/		followed for amontown

S.No.	Checklist	Good	Satisfactory	Needs to be improved	Remarks
2.5	Monitoring mechanism for teaching-learning process				
2.6	Remedial action for slow learners		~		Should be conducted.
2.7	Lab Classes	~			required for omenut.
2.8	Student Projects		V		Little more concentration
2.9	Attainment analysis of COs, POs and PSOs	~			7
2.10	Courses beyond Curriculum	/			
3.	Criterion 3: Examination and Evaluation Process				
3.1	Quality of Periodical Test Questions	/			
3.2	Quality of Answer Sheet evaluation	/			
3.3	Awarding Internal marks as per regulation	~			
3.4	Periodical Test Result Analysis	/			
3.5	Lab Assessment		~		required for Lah
3.6	Project work evaluation		· V		anto project Evaluation.
4.	Criterion 4: Achievements of Students through Autor	omy			
4.1	Self learning Online Courses	/			
4.2	Industry Designed Courses	<b>/</b>			
4.3	Inplant Training/Internship		V		
4.4	Co-curricular activities		-		of reputed institutions
4.5	Extra-curricular activities		V		like mit, 117, 228c etc.

S.No.	Checklist	Good	Satisfactory	Needs to be improved	Remarks
4.6	Placement activities				Little more care should betaken to improve palame
5.	Criterion 5: Faculty Development and Research for in	plementatio	n of Autonomy		
5.1	Participation in FDP/FIP/ Conference/Seminar/Workshop		~		participate FDP in NIT, 11
5.2	Faculty knowledge updation through online courses	/			
5.3	Research Publications		~		Bricowaye the faculty to publish paper in reputeed fournals.
5.4	Google Scholar Citations		~		reputeed fournals.
5.5	On-going Research Projects	water the second		~	
5.6	Consultancy Activities			~	Encourge the faculty to
5.7	Patents			/	visits the houp of Stredent

General Suggestions:	Strengths:	Weaknesses:	
1. Teaching hearning porcon is govol. 2. Encourage the family to participate FDP is ont, 3. 117 etc.	1. Faculty mils PhD  2. More no of research Scholans.  3. faculty involvent.	1: Research publications and projects. 2. 3.	

Signature of the Auditee

Dr.C. Callins Chostiyana,

Porfe Head, CSE, SPT.

Signature of the Auditors

Tor. R. Thamilselvan, Poul IT Konque Engg. Collye.

SETHU INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, PULLOOR - 626 115

#### PEER TEAM AUDIT REPORT FOR THE PERIOD JULY 2017 TO JUNE 2018

Name of the Auditor:

1. Dr. M. K. Elango, Professor and Head, Deptof EEE, K.S. Rangasamy college of Technology, Tiruchengode.

Department Audited : EEE

Name of the Auditee: Dr. A. Stinivason Professor & Likad / EEE, SIT

S.No.	Checklist	Good	Satisfactory	Needs to be improved	Remarks
1.	Criterion 1: Curriculum Design				
1.1	Curriculum Design Process			1	Po Number & Defi
1.2	Stakeholders involvement in curriculum design (Faculty, International Faculty, Employers/ Industries, Alumni & Students)	V			
1.3	Improvements made in curriculum	V		land Courte	
1.4	Curriculum mapping with PEOs, POs, PSOs and Programme Specific criteria (PSCs)		~		
1.5	Value-added Courses offered to the students	~			
2.	Criterion 2: Teaching Learning Process				
2.1	Academic Calendar		V		
2.2	Class Time table	~			
2.3	Innovative Teaching Methods	~			
2.4	Assignment		~		Need Rubrics

S.No.	Checklist	Good	Satisfactory	Needs to be improved	Remarks
2.5	Monitoring mechanism for teaching-learning process	V			
2.6	Remedial action for slow learners		~		Outcome sha he specia
2.7	Lab Classes	~			
2.8	Student Projects		V		Rubones New tohe in
2.9	Attainment analysis of COs, POs and PSOs		~		Action Taloun and wha
2.10	Courses beyond Curriculum	~			Total Control of the
3.	Criterion 3: Examination and Evaluation Process				
3.1	Quality of Periodical Test Questions	~			
3.2	Quality of Answer Sheet evaluation	~			
3.3	Awarding Internal marks as per regulation	~			
3.4	Periodical Test Result Analysis		V		
3.5	Lab Assessment	71 - 1	V		New Rudnies
3.6	Project work evaluation	Tank Tank	V		New Rubinius New Rubinius
4.	Criterion 4: Achievements of Students through Auton	omy			
4.1	Self learning Online Courses	~			
4.2	Industry Designed Courses	~			
4.3	Inplant Training/Internship		~		epostiderion d Corrier
4.4	Co-curricular activities		~		"
4.5	Extra-curricular activities		-		1/2

S.No.	Checklist	Good	Satisfactory	Needs to be improved	Remarks	
4.6	Placement activities		~		Consilidation of Poor	of fer
5.	Criterion 5: Faculty Development and Research for in	nplementatio	n of Autonomy			
5.1	Participation in FDP/FIP/ Conference/Seminar/Workshop		-		u	
5.2	Faculty knowledge updation through online courses	~				
5.3	Research Publications		~		4	
5.4	Google Scholar Citations	~				
5.5	On-going Research Projects	~				
5.6	Consultancy Activities				Need to do mue	consulon
5.7	Patents	V		Table		

General Suggestions:	Strengths:	Weaknesses:
1. Consolidation of reports for last 3 years to be 2. Lone	1. Funded Project ema Pasent.	1. Usage of Rubricad levels in lab and. Attigorouns and 2. Project to be improved
3.	3.	3. Co 4 PO Attairmens Aralysze
4.	4.	4. Quantitativenus in minutes

Signature of the Auditee

PLAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering

Sethu Institute of Technology, Pullogr. Kariapatti - 626115

Signature of the Auditors Sell Selley

# STHU INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLO Y Pulloor, Kariapatti - 626 115

# PEER TEAM AUDIT CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORT FOR THE PERIOD JULY 2017 TO JUNE 2018

# DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

Date of audit: 16.02.2019

S.No.	Checklist	Suggestions of the Auditors	Corrective Action	Person Responsible	Target Date
	Criterion 1 : Curriculu	m Design			
1.2	Stakeholders involvement in curriculum design (Faculty, International Faculty, Employers/Industries, Alumni & Students)	<ul> <li>Feedback may be more organized and classified stakeholder wise</li> <li>Feedback can be obtained from parents</li> <li>Online feedback forms may be used</li> </ul>	It is decided to organize the feedback forms and action taken report according to the category of the stakeholders	Dr.M.Malathi Ms.K.Priyadharsini	May 2019
1.3	Improvements made in curriculum	Improvements made in curriculum based on stakeholder's feedback may be highlighted	Being practiced		
	Criterion 2: Teaching Le	arning Process			
2.1	Academic Calendar	Schedule of Activities may be followed as per the Academic Calendar	Being practiced		
2.4	Assignment	Rubrics may be used to evaluate the Assignment	It is decided to make the evaluation of the assignments as per the rubrics for all the courses from the next academic year onwards.	All Faculty Members	June 2019
2.6	Remedial action for slow learners	More Remedial classes can be arranged for	It is decided to conduct coaching classes for slow learners on Saturdays.	All Faculty Members	From June 2019 onwards

S.No.	Checklist	Sugges ons of the Auditors	Corrective Action	Person Responsible	Target Date
		academically weak students  • More focused efforts can be taken for slow learners			
2.8	Student Projects	Project Report writing may be improved	The faculty members who are handling the Project Work are asked to make the presentation about how to write the Project report	All Faculty Members	March 2019
2.9	Attainment analysis of COs, POs and PSOs	<ul> <li>Course Committee meetings should have detailed discussion about the Attainment analysis.</li> </ul>	Being Practiced		
	Criterion 3:Examination a	nd Evaluation Process			<b>海线温温</b>
3.1	Quality of Periodical Test Questions	Little care to be taken in mentioning the Bloom's Taxonomy Level	Being Practiced		
3.5	Lab Assessment	Detailed Rubrics may be followed for assessment	It is decided to make all kind of evaluations with respect to all lab courses as per the rubrics from the next academic year onwards.	All Faculty Members	June 2019
		Criterion 4: Achieve	ments of Students through Au	itonomy	
4.4	Co-curricular activities	• Significant achievements of students may be highlighted	It is decided to classify the achievements of the students according to the level of achievement.	Ms.M.Sanmuga Priya	May 2019
4.5	Extra-curricular activities	• Significant achievements of students may be highlighted	It is decided to classify the achievements of the students according to the level of achievement.	Mr.S.Sathish Kumar	May 2019
4.6	Placement activities	<ul> <li>Career guidance must be given to students</li> </ul>	Being Practiced		

S.No.	Checklist	Sugge: ons of the Auditors	Corrective Action	Person Responsible	Target Date
		who do not opt for Campus Placement			
	Criterion 5: Faculty Devel	opment and Research for in	mplementation of Autonomy		
5.1	Participation in FDP/FIP/ Conference/Seminar/Wor kshop	Faculty members may be encouraged to attend programs in reputed organizations like IITs and NITS	Initiatives have been taken	All Faculty Members	Round the year
5.3	Research Publications	Research publications needs improvement	Initiatives have been taken	All Faculty Members	Round the year
5.5	On-going Research Projects	More efforts may be taken by all Departments to obtain funded projects	Research Meetings are being conducted in the department to increase the number of proposals sent to various funding agencies	Ph.D holders and Ph.D pursuing Faculty Members	Round the year
5.6	Consultancy Activities	More activities can be done towards consultancy	A committee with Faculty members and students exclusively working for getting Consultancy Projects	Mr.P.Suresh Mr.B.Sivananthan	Round the year

Signature of the Head of the Department

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

Department of Computer Science & Engg.
Sethu Institute of Technology,
Pulloor, Kariapatti - 626115

# SET. U INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY Pulloor, Kariapatti - 626 115

# PEER TEAM AUDIT CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORT

#### **Electrical & Electronics Department**

S.No.	Checklist	Suggestions of the Auditors	Corrective Action	Person Responsible	Target Date
	Criterion1 : Curriculum Design				
1.2	Stakeholders involvement in curriculum design (Faculty, International Faculty, Employers/Industries, Alumni & Students)	<ul> <li>Feedback may be more organized and classified stakeholder wise</li> <li>Feedback can be obtained from parents</li> <li>Online feedback forms may be used</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Correction will be made</li> <li>Feedback may be obtained during parents meeting</li> <li>Proposal will be submitted to CPEC</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Ms.G.Soundradevi</li><li>Dr.G.narmadha</li></ul>	22.03.19
1.3	Improvements made in curriculum	Improvements     made in     curriculum     based on     stakeholder's     feedback may be     highlighted	Improvements made in curriculum will be highlighted.	<ul><li>Ms.G.Soundradevi</li><li>Dr.G.narmadha</li></ul>	22.03.19
	Criterion 2 : Teaching Learning Proc	ess			
2.1	Academic Calendar	Schedule of Activities may be followed as per the Academic Calendar	Will be followed	-	-
2.4	Assignment	Rubrics may be used to evaluate the Assignment	Course coordinators are instructed to frame Rubrics for the assignments.	All Course coordinators	-

S.No.	Checklist	Suggestions of the Auditors	Corrective Action	Person Responsible	Target Date
2.6	Remedial action for slow learners	<ul> <li>More Remedial classes can be arranged for academically weak students</li> <li>More focused efforts can be taken for slow learners</li> </ul>	Efforts will be taken for slow learners coaching	All Course coordinators	-
2.8	Student Projects	<ul> <li>Project Report writing may be improved</li> </ul>	Session may be arranged on how to write a project Report	Dr.R.M.Sasiraja	21.03.18
2.9	Attainment analysis of COs, POs and PSOs	Course     Committee     meetings should     have detailed     discussion about     the Attainment     analysis.	Correction will be done	All domain coordinators & Course coordinators	22.03.19
	Criterion 3:Examination and Evalua	tion Process			
3.1	Quality of Periodical Test Questions	Little care to be taken in mentioning the Bloom's Taxonomy Level	All course instructors are instructed to mention Bloom's Taxonomy during the preparation of Periodical Test Questions carefully	All course instructors	-
3.5	Lab Assessment	Detailed Rubrics may be followed for assessment	All Lab course coordinators are instructed to frame detailed Rubrics for lab assessment	All Lab course coordinators	-
	Criterion 4: Achievements of Stude	nts through Autonomy			1000
4.4	Co-curricular activities	Significant achievements of students may be highlighted		Mr.Muthuvel	20.03.19

-

s.No.	Checklist	ggestions of the Auditors	Corrective Action	Person Responsible	Target Date
4.5	Extra-curricular activities	Significant     achievements of     students may be     highlighted	Achievements will be highlighted	Ms.C.Sonia	20.03.19
4.6	Placement activities	Career guidance must be given to students who do not opt for Campus Placement	Motivational Programs/Guest lectures will be organized on carrier guidance	Mr.Muhammed Alaudeen Ashiq	22.03.19
	Criterion 5: Faculty Development an	d Research for implem	entation of Autonomy		
5.1	Participation in FDP/FIP/ Conference/Seminar/Workshop	Faculty members may be encouraged to attend programs in reputed organizations like IITs and NITS	Instruction given to faculty members	All faculty members	
5.3	Research Publications	Research     publications     needs     improvement	Faculty members are encouraged to publish papers in scopus indexed journals	All faculty members	- 5 7
5.5	On-going Research Projects	More efforts may be taken by all Departments to obtain funded projects	Faculty members are instructed to take more efforts to obtain funded projects in reputed organizations	All faculty members	-
5.6	Consultancy Activities	More activities can be done towards consultancy	Faculty members are encouraged to do consultancy works in Reputed organisation	All faculty members	-

Signature of the Head of the Department